

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ACTING)
12 BY AND THROUGH ITS BOARD OF)
13 HARBOR COMMISSIONERS,)

14 Plaintiff,)

15 v.)

16 BAE SYSTEMS SAN DIEGO SHIP)
17 REPAIR INC., a California Corporation;)
18 and Does 1 thru 10, Inclusive,)

19 Defendants.)

20 BAE SYSTEMS SAN DIEGO SHIP)
21 REPAIR INC., a California Corporation,)

22 Counterclaimant,)

23 v.)

24 CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ACTING)
25 BY AND THROUGH ITS BOARD OF)
26 HARBOR COMMISSIONERS,)

27 Counterdefendant.)
28

CASE NO. 13-CV-8810 CBM (AGR_x)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL [JS-6]

**[First Amended Complaint Served
8/18/14]**

1 The City of Los Angeles (the “City”) and BAE Systems San Diego Ship
2 Repair Inc. (“BAE Systems”) (collectively, the “Parties”) have submitted a
3 stipulation (“Stipulation”) to dismiss this action.
4

5 NOW, THEREFORE, good cause appearing from the Stipulation, the Court
6 orders as follows:
7

8 1. This action, including all claims and counterclaims stated between
9 the Parties, is dismissed with prejudice.

10 2. The Court will retain jurisdiction to interpret and enforce the terms of
11 the settlement agreement.
12

13 IT IS SO ORDERED:
14

15 Dated: March 1, 2017
16



Consuelo B. Marshall
United States District Judge